

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,223	11/26/2003	Jean-Francois Savaria	86267-39	5690
Stephan P. Geo	7590 02/22/200 orgiev	EXAMINER		
SMART & BIGGAR			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
Suite 3400 1000 de la Gauchetiere Street West			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Montreal, Que	bec, H3B 4W5	1795		
CANADA				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/721,223 SAVARIA ET AL. Office Action Summary F.....

		Examiner	AILOIIIL				
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1795				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	dress			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. hasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1: 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The From the mailing date of this communication are not be an expension of the communication of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 2008.					
		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Diamonis	ion of Claims						
	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	a election requirement					
ا ا(٥	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	+(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						

 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_ 6) Other: \_\_ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080215

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/6/08 has been entered.

This Office action addresses claims 1-4 and 7-20. The rejections over WO '433 and Kelly have been obviated. However, the rejections of claims 1-4, 7-13, 18 and 19 over Greenbaum et al. are maintained for substantially the reasons of record. Additionally, claims 1-3, 14-18, and 20 are subject to new grounds of rejection herein. This action is non-final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-4, 7, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greenbaum (U.S. Patent 5,022,555). The reference is directed to a container for holding a liquid. The casing is formed form a multi-layer structure as best shown in Figs. 1-6. A carrier film (16) is wrapped around PVC piping (12) to form an inner liner (18) of the container (see col. 2, line 44 et seq.). A barrier film (20) is then formed on the outside of the carrier film and may also be considered to be part of the claimed lining. A further layer of carrier film (16) is then formed thus creating a shell (22). Regarding claim 1, the shell may be reinforced with piping (24) to

Art Unit: 1795

create ribs on the outside thereof. The inner lining may comprise a laminate of two synthetic materials having moisture and humidity barrier properties (see col. 3, line 1). Regarding claim 4, the laminates may include metallized films (see col. 5, line 50). Regarding claims 1 and 7, the shell may comprise adhesive layers reinforced with glass frit additives (see col. 5, line 39). Regarding claim 18, the shell may comprise polyethylene (see col. 4, line 30) or epoxy (see col. 5, line 18). Regarding claim 20, the apparatus is an "energy storage device" because it can contain energy in the form of heat, potential energy, etc.

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

3. Claims 1-3, 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Asahina et al (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0027040). The reference teaches a battery comprising a casing comprising a rigid structural shell (3) and an inner lining (11) joined to the inner surface of the shell (see Figs. 1-4). The shell comprises a molded polymer material (see [0066]) and comprises ribs on the outer surface thereof (see Figures 1 and 4). The liner comprises one layer or a multi-layer laminate of synthetic material and is impervious to oxygen and humidity (see [0045], [0047]). Regarding claim 14, a cover (6) is sealed to the top of the container.

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

Art Unit: 1795

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenbaum.
 The reference is applied to claims 1-4, 7, and 18-20 for the reasons stated above.

However, the reference does not expressly teach hat the structural shell is made of a molded plastic material reinforced with a plurality of discrete metallic portions, as recited in claim 8.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the recitation of discrete metallic portions is not considered to patentably distinguish over the reference. As stated above, Greenbaum teaches that the layers of the lining and/or shell may comprise metallized films. Although the specific implementation and configuration of the metallized films is not disclosed by Greenbaum, it would be well within the skill of the art to use "discrete portions" as opposed to a continuous portion when constructing the container of Greenbaum. In general, it has been held that making elements separable is matter of design choice to one skilled in the art absent evidence to the contrary (MPEP 2144.04). Further, the specific configurations recited in claims 9-13 are not considered to distinguish over the reference. These claims recite a molded structure, an embedded structure, and a fastening structure comprised of perforations in the metal and mating projections in the plastic. Each of these structures would be obvious to a person of skill in the art, since the artisan would be sufficiently skilled to adhere the metal layer to other layers by any means known, including molding, embedding, and fastening with perforations. As such, none of the claimed structures is seen to patentably distinguish over Greenbaum.

Art Unit: 1795

Claims 1-3, 14-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 JP 9-259840 in view of Hamada et al (U.S. Patent 5.510.203).

JP '840 is directed to a lead-acid or alkaline secondary battery (see [0001] of translation). The battery comprises a jar (rigid structural shell) comprising a plastic such as polypropylene (see abstract). A liner made of vinylidene chloride resin is joined to the inner surface of the structural shell (see abstract). The liner is impervious to oxygen and humidity (see [0010]). Regarding claims 2 and 3, the liner may further comprise additional layer(s) of synthetic material see [0018]).

JP '840 does not expressly teach that the jar is reinforced with ribs, as recited in claim 1, or that the battery comprises a cover welded to the jar, as recited in claims 14 and 15, or the structure of the cover as recited in claims 16 and 17.

Hamada et al. is directed to an alkaline storage battery comprising a resin shell reinforced with ribs (21) (see Figure 1). The battery has a cover (13) having metallic electrical connectors (14, 15) therethrough that is welded to the shell (see col. 4, line 22). Regarding claim 17, the connectors may be considered to be "reinforcement metallic portions" that are "lined at least in part" with the synthetic material of the cover.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use the ribs and the cover structure of Hamada et al. in the battery of JP '840. In column 2, line 55, Hamada et al. teach the following:

Art Unit: 1795

Another object of the present invention is to provide a battery which is free of deformation or breakage of the assing or displacement of the unit or pack battery after repetitive charging and discharging or long time operation, and which can efficiently dissipate the heat generated in the battery at the time of charging and discharging out of the battery system, thereby leading to a superior constant battery performance.

Accordingly, the artisan would be motivated to incorporate the ribs and the cover structure of Hamada et al. into the battery of JP '840. It is further believed that the claimed invention is obvious because all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 6, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the Greenbaum reference, Applicants state that Figures 1-6 and 8 of Greenbaum represent a single embodiment and that the container must contain the perforations shown in Figure 8. Applicants then state that "Greenbaum does not teach a casing comprising an inner lining substantially impervious to oxygen and humidity." Even if, assuming arguendo, that the container must include the perforations, as asserted by Applicants, it is the Examiner's position that the claim language still reads on the reference. Claim 1 recites, as part of the casing, "an inner lining substantially impervious to oxygen and humidity." It is submitted that

Art Unit: 1795

the inner lining material per se of Greenbaum is impervious to oxygen and humidity. While the casing shown in Figure 8 of Greenbaum has perforations allowing liquid to enter the casing, there is no requirement in the instant claims that the casing is hermetically sealed so as to not allow any oxygen or humidity into or out of the casing. Thus, the casing of Greenbaum does in fact comprise "an inner lining substantially impervious to oxygen and humidity," as claimed, however, the casing is configured to allow the passage of materials into and out of the casing. It is further noted that in all of the embodiments of Greenbaum, the casing comprises a conduit with a valve, which functions to permit ingress and egress of fluid into the casing. Applicant has not made the argument that the breach in the lining caused by this conduit renders the lining passable or pervious to oxygen and humidity. Similar to the perforations, the pipe/valve configuration is a structural attribute of the casing and does not negate the fact that the lining is still "impervious to oxygen and humidity." Accordingly, the rejection over Greenbaum is believed to be proper and is maintained herein.

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299.
 The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the

Art Unit: 1795

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 February 27, 2008